Biennial Biological Monitoring Report for Hilton Head Plantation and Palmetto Hall Recycled Water Projects

Hilton Head Public Service District Hilton Head Island, SC

March 2020



PREPARED BY

NUTTER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Corporate Headquarters 360 Hawthorne Lane Athens, GA 30606 Office Phone: 706.354.7925

Asheville Office 304 New Leicester Highway Suite B Asheville, NC 28806 Office Phone: 828-539-3008



Biennial Biological Monitoring Report for Hilton Head Plantation and Palmetto Hall Recycled Water Projects

Prepared for HILTON HEAD PUBLICE SERVICE DISTRICT HILTON HEAD ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA

> Prepared By Nutter & Associates, Inc. Athens, Georgia

> > March 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INT	RODUCTION1
2.0	MET	HODS
2.1	Ve	egetative Cover Methods and Metrics2
2.2	Be	enthic Macroinvertebrate Methods and Metrics
3.0	MON	NITORING RESULTS
3.1	Τ	ree Canopy Results
3	.1.1	Basal Area of Trees
3	.1.2	Density of Canopy Trees 4
3	.1.3	Importance Value of Canopy Tree Species
3.2	Sł	nrub and Groundcover Results
3	.2.1	Shrub Cover Results
3	.2.2	Groundcover Results
3.3	Μ	acroinvertebrate Results6
3.4	Tł	hreatened and Endangered Species 6
3	.4.1	American Alligator (Alligator mississippiensis)7
3	.4.2	Spotted Turtle (Clemmys guttata)7
3	.4.3	Southern Hognose Snake (Heterodon simus)7
3	.4.4	Pondberry (Lindera melissifolia)8
3	.4.5	Wood Stork (Mycteria Americana)8
3	.4.6	Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis)8
4.0	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS8
5.0	REF	ERENCES10

- APPENDIX A. Wetland Site Photos
- APPENDIX B. Site Specific Plant List
- APPENDIX C. Site Specific Bird List
- APPENDIX D. Threatened and Endangered Species of Beaufort County, South Carolina.

- Table 1.
 Location of the two Recycled Water Project sites and associated monitoring requirements.
- Table 2. Monitoring parameters and data collection methods.
- Table 3. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Cypress Wetland.
- Table 4.
 Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Whooping Crane Wetland.
- Table 5. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Palmetto Hall wooded wetland.
- Table 6. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland.
- Table 7. Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Cypress Conservancy.
- Table 8.Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Whooping Crane
Conservancy.
- Table 9.
 Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall wooded wetland.
- Table 10. Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland.
- Table 11. Ground coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Cypress Conservancy.
- Table 12. Ground coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Whooping Crane Conservancy.
- Table 13. Ground coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall wooded wetland.
- Table 14. Ground coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland.
- Table 15. Macroinvertebrate assemblage from the Hilton Head Island PSD recycled water discharge wetlands.

- Figure 1. Vicinity map for the Hilton Head Island Recycled Water Discharge Wetlands.
- Figure 2. Cypress Conservancy Biological Monitoring Stations for the Hilton Head PSD Recycled Water Project.
- Figure 3. Whooping Crane Conservancy Biological Monitoring Stations for the Hilton Head PSD Recycled Water Project.
- Figure 4. Palmetto Hall Wooded Wetland Biological Monitoring Stations for the Hilton Head PSD Recycled Water Project.
- Figure 5. Palmetto Hall Grassy Wetland Biological Monitoring Stations for the Hilton Head PSD Recycled Water Project.
- Figure 6. Wetland vegetation monitoring plot schematic.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The following report details the results of biological monitoring conducted during the 2019 annual period at four recycled water discharge wetlands on Hilton Head Island, South Carolina. The Hilton Head Public Service District (PSD) discharges advanced treated dechlorinated recycled water to the wetlands as part of a sustainable water reuse program during low recycled water demand periods. The PSD water reuse program discharges to receiving wetlands under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit Number SC0046191, administered by the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC). The permit, as modified October 24, 2005, requires quantitative and qualitative biological monitoring of vegetation and benthic macroinvertebrates conducted annually with reports submitted to SCDHEC biennially or once every two years.

The recycled water discharge wetlands include the Whooping Crane Conservancy wetland and Cypress Conservancy wetland in the Hilton Head Plantation neighborhood, and the grassy wetland and wooded wetland within the Palmetto Hall Golf Course (Figure 1). The PSD ceased discharging recycled water to the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland during 2019. Despite the cessation of discharge, a permanent monitoring station was monumented and sampled within the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland during period.

Monitoring was conducted by Nutter and Associates, Inc. (NAI) during the 2019 monitoring period. This was the first year that NAI biologists have participated in the project. Baseline documentation and monitoring during all previous years was completed by Ballantine Environmental Resources. NAI utilized the same methodology and protocols employed during previous monitoring events. Overall site conditions were similar to those described in past monitoring reports. However, previous monitoring reports did not include quantifiable metrics of existing conditions. Quantifiable comparisons could not be made between the 2019 monitoring period and past monitoring events. Results for the 2019 monitoring year are listed in this report and provide a record of existing conditions during the 2019 monitoring year that can be compared during subsequent monitoring events.

2.0 METHODS

As stipulated by the NPDES permit, the discharge wetlands were monitored semiannually in 2019. Field data collection consisted of quantitative assessments of hydrology, vegetation, and benthic macroinvertebrates at discrete stations established along transects within the four wetlands in the Hilton Head Plantation and the Palmetto Hall Golf Course. Monitoring protocols followed the criteria listed in the NPDES permit. Additional qualitative observations of birds and other wildlife, and any significant impacts such as tree mortality and blow downs were documented. Based upon previously identified monitoring schedules, semiannual monitoring consisted of one monitoring event during the dormant season and one monitoring event during the growing season. The dormant season monitoring event was conducted in early March of 2019 and the growing season monitoring event was conducted in August of 2019. Tables 1 and

2 below detail the sample locations, sample size, protocols, and metrics employed during the 2019 monitoring period. The locations and of each fixed monitoring station are provided in Figures 2 through 5.

2.1 Vegetative Cover Methods and Metrics

Previous scientists relied upon local knowledge to locate monitoring stations without the benefit of GPS waypoints. It was therefore necessary to establish new monitoring stations in 2019. Each monitoring station was located as close as possible to the locations that were identified on the hand drawn maps that were included in previous reports. The new 2019 monitoring stations and plots were recorded using a GPS and were monumented by latitude and longitude. All monumented point locations had an error of less than 1-meter. The new monitoring stations and plots will continue to be utilized during subsequent annual monitoring periods and will serve as a replicable sample set to assess changes in vegetative structure and potential wetland impacts (Figures 2-5).

At each monitoring station, a 1/100-acre circular plot was established, and all canopy and sapling/shrub species were identified (Figure 6). Tree species included any species that was greater than 10-feet tall with a DBH greater than 3-inches. Diameter at breast height (DBH) was measured for each canopy tree species equal to or greater than 3-inch DBH. Percent coverage estimates were conducted for canopy and shrub strata within each 1/100-acre plot. Shrub species included any species that was less than 10-feet tall and had a DBH of less than 3-inches. In the middle of the 1/100 plot, a 1/1,000-acre sub-plot quadrat was established to document groundcover species. Groundcover species included any species that was rooted within the quadrat and was less than 6-feet tall. Additionally, a general search for all plant species that occurred within the larger wetland area was completed for each discharge wetland.

Tree density was calculated as the total number of an individual species per acre. Basal area (BA) was calculated as the sum of the cross-sectional area of each tree species, measured at breast height above ground. Importance values were calculated as the sum from (i) the relative frequency; (ii) the relative density; and (iii) the relative dominance. Importance values for trees can range between 0 (absent) and 300 (highly frequent with high density).

For shrub and herbaceous ground cover plots, mean coverage was calculated as the total coverage divided by the number of stations in each wetland. Relative dominance was calculated as the sum of total coverage of a given species divided by the overall ground coverage and multiplied by 100 to calculate the percentage. Frequency was calculated as the total recorded occurrences by transect station. Relative frequency was calculated as the frequency of occurrence by species divided by the total number of occurrences multiplied by 100. Counting individual stems of shrub and ground cover would have been impractical. Many species exist as colonies of multiple stems or clonal extensions of rhizomes. Because of the difficulty in quantifying density, importance values for shrub and ground cover were calculated

as the sum from (i) the relative frequency; and (ii) the relative dominance. Importance values for shrub and herbaceous cover can range between 0 (absent) and 200 (highly frequent with high density).

2.2 Benthic Macroinvertebrate Methods and Metrics

Where appropriate, macroinvertebrate sampling was adapted using protocols outlined in the SCDHEC Standard Operating and Quality Control Procedures for Macroinvertebrate Sampling (SCDHEC, 2012). However, the SCDHEC SOP was specifically written for stream sampling, so adaptations were made to accommodate wetland sampling. The grassy wetland at Palmetto Hall was not sampled for benthic macroinvertebrates due to a lack of water. At each monitoring transect, multiple habitats were targeted for sampling using D-frame dip net samplers. Targeted habitats included undercut banks and root wads, aquatic vegetation, and submerged logs. Submerged logs were rinsed within the D-frame dip net. All samples were composited for each wetland and stored in a 70% ethanol solution. Identification and enumeration of macroinvertebrates was performed by Wendell Pennington of Pennington and Associates, Inc., Cookeville, TN. Results were evaluated using the biotic index and commonly used diversity metrics including taxa richness.

3.0 MONITORING RESULTS

Overall site conditions are described for tree, shrub, and groundcover classes. Previous monitoring reports did not include empirical data of existing conditions. Results for the 2019 monitoring year are listed in Table 3 through 15 below and provide a record of existing conditions during the 2019 monitoring year. These results can be used to make direct comparisons during subsequent monitoring events.

3.1 Tree Canopy Results

The Cypress Conservancy, Whooping Crane Conservancy, and the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands were heavily forested and dominated by swamp tupelo (*Nyssa biflora*). Cypress Conservancy and Whooping Crane Conservancy supported mature forest and had the highest basal area per acre as compared to The Palmetto Hall wooded wetland and Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands. The Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands had similar tree composition to Cypress Conservancy and Whooping Crane Conservancy but the forest was younger and had lower stem density and basal area. The Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands were largely herbaceous and contained the lowest overall tree cover.

3.1.1 Basal Area of Trees

The Cypress Conservancy, Whooping Crane Conservancy, and the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands were dominated by even-aged stands of large swamp tupelo (*Nyssa biflora*) (Tables 3-5). Within the Cypress Conservancy, several other sub-dominate species contributed to the total tree taxa richness including bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*), loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), wax

myrtle (*Morella cerifera*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), and large gallberry (*Ilex coriacea*) (Table 3). However, sub-dominates contributed little to the total basal area of the Cypress Conservancy which was dominated by swamp tupelo. Total basal area in the Cypress Conservancy was 70 ft²/acre. Sub-dominants occurring in the Whooping Crane Conservancy included loblolly pine, wax myrtle, Carolina willow (*Salix caroliniana*), and red maple (*Acer rubrum*) (Table 4). Total basal area in the Whooping Crane Conservancy was 75 ft²/acre. Within the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands, the only sub-dominant was wax myrtle (Table 5). Total basal area in the wooded wetlands was 14 ft²/acre. The Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands contain few canopy species and was dominated by loblolly pine, with sub-dominants including swamp tupelo and Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*) (Table 6). Total basal area in the grassy wetland was 2 ft²/acre.

3.1.2 Density of Canopy Trees

As with basal area, the density of canopy trees in the Whooping Crane Conservancy, Cypress Conservancy, and the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands was highest for swamp tupelo (Tables 3-5). The Palmetto Hall grassy wetland had higher densities of loblolly pine. The highest density of trees occurred at the Whooping Crane Conservancy (227 trees per acre) with the next highest total density of canopy trees occurring at the Cypress Conservancy (166 trees per acre) (Table 3-4). Tree density at the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands was 101 trees per acre (Table 5). The grassy wetlands contained 50 trees per acre (Table 6).

3.1.3 Importance Value of Canopy Tree Species

Forested communities were dominated by swamp tupelo at the Cypress Conservancy, Whooping Crane Conservancy, and the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands, and importance values for swamp tupelo were greater than 200 for all three wetlands. Loblolly pine was the most important species in the grassy wetland (Table 3-6). Bald cypress occupied secondary importance only in the Cypress Conservancy where several large bald cypress were observed.

3.2 Shrub and Groundcover Results

Shrub and groundcover diversity were highest in the Cypress Conservancy and Whooping Crane Conservancy. Both sites represented the most mature forest cover as compared to the other discharge wetlands. Overall the diversity of shrub cover and herbaceous groundcover within the discharge wetlands was limited by several factors including shade from mature canopy trees that limits shrub and herbaceous growth. Additionally, the largest factor affecting herbaceous diversity in all wetlands except Palmetto Hall grassy wetland was the percent of stations with standing water and the average depth of water. Deeper waters preclude substantial herbaceous growth. It should be noted that diversity and abundance increased during the summertime growing season monitoring event. A list of all plant species recorded within the larger general search area of each wetland is included in Appendix B.

3.2.1 Shrub Cover Results

Shrub cover within the Cypress Conservancy was dominated by dwarf palmetto (*Sabal minor*), tallow tree (*Triadica sebifera*), Red Bay (*Persea borbonia*), and wax myrtle (*Morella cerifera*) with each species represented by roughly equal importance values (Table 7). Of note among these is the presence the invasive-exotic tallow tree. Previous monitoring reports do not include any data in relation to the overall coverage of tallow tree so no direct comparisons were able to be concluded to past monitoring events. Tallow tree is a common component of many wetlands within the coastal plain of South Carolina. Previous monitoring reports do indicate that tallow tree was present in past years.

The Whooping Crane Conservancy shrub cover was dominated by wax myrtle with swamp loosestrife (*Decodon verticillatus*), Carolina willow (*Salix caroliniana*), and red bay serving as important secondary shrub species (Table 8). Notable among these is the presence of swamp loosestrife, an invasive-exotic subshrub. Previous monitoring reports do not mention swamp loosestrife. As with tallow tree, swamp loosestrife is a common component of inundated wetlands in the coastal plain of South Carolina.

The Palmetto Hall wooded wetland shrub cover was dominated by tallow tree and wax myrtle with dwarf palmetto, red bay, buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*), and red maple (*Acer rubrum*) serving as secondary species (Table 9). Again, the presence of tallow tree is of note because it is an invasive-exotic species. However, the 2019 results can only serve as baseline for the monitoring period for the reasons discussed above.

The Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands were dominated by wax myrtle and persimmon with persimmon occupying dry monitoring stations (Table 9). Tallow tree, dwarf palmetto, red bay, and Dahoon (*Ilex cassine*) were closely represented as secondary species. Again, no direct comparisons between the coverage of tallow tree could be made with past monitoring reports.

3.2.2 Groundcover Results

Groundcover composition was similar between the March and August monitoring events of 2019. As expected, overall cover and species richness increased during the growing season. The Cypress Conservancy had slightly less species richness with 15 total species recorded among the sampling stations. Overall species composition was similar to Whooping Crane Conservancy monitoring stations. Species composition in the Cypress Conservancy was dominated by lizard's tail (*Saururus cernuus*) and swamp smartweed (*Polygonum hydropiperoides*), with sub-dominates composed of pennywort (*Hydrocotyle* spp.), creeping primrose (*Ludwigia palustris*), maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*), and Virginia chainfern (*Woodwardia virginiana*) occupying a significant portion of the species composition (Table 11).

The highest diversity occurred at the Whooping Crane Conservancy where 16 total species were recorded. A higher percentage of stations within the Whooping Crane Conservancy had standing water with slightly higher depths than Cypress Conservancy (Table 12). Species

composition in Whooping Crane was dominated by pennywort and creeping primrose. Important secondary species were Virginia iris (*Iris virginica*), lizard's tail, Carolina willow (*Salix caroliniana*), cone-cup spikerush (*Eleocharis tuberculosa*) and buttonbush (*Cephalantha occidentalis*). Species diversity and total cover increased during the growing season. Total cover of lizard's tail and creeping primrose increased the most between the dormant season and growing season monitoring events.

The Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands contained the least amount of groundcover, and all stations had water with average depths greater than other wetlands (Table 13). The species composition in the wooded wetlands was dominated by lizard's tail. Young sprouts of tallow tree, smartweed, and creeping primrose were also recorded and represented minor and nearly equivalent importance among monitoring stations.

There was no water discharged to the grassy wetland and the taxonomic profile of plant species was less hydrophytic than the other wetlands (Table 14). Species composition in the grassy wetland was dominated by smartweed, with sub-dominants of Virginia iris, and plume grass (*Setaria magna*) occupying most of the rest of the species composition (Table 14).

3.3 Macroinvertebrate Results

The macroinvertebrate communities in the discharge wetlands are typical of many freshwater wetland systems in the coastal plain (Table 15). The soft sediments and naturally low dissolved oxygen concentrations in these wetlands are conducive for a community dominated by midge larvae in the family Chironimidae. Generally, wetlands will sustain a more tolerant suite of macroinvertebrate taxa than do freshwater streams. However, the receiving wetlands had several species with mid-tolerance values including, Neoporus sp., Anax junius, Ferrissia fragilaris, Planorbella sp., Tanytarsus sp., which would indicate that water quality conditions can support more sensitive species. Tolerance values were similar across all of the wetlands sampled. Taxa richness was lowest in the Whooping Crane Conservancy likely due to sampling inefficiencies related to abundant floating duckweed (*Lemna* sp.) which limits the ability to target habitats. Several long-lived species were collected, including species from the order Odonata, or dragonflies, and several beetle species from the order Coleoptera. The presence of long-lived species indicates a lack of chronic water quality stressors and the presence of longterm surface water hydrology. There is a good mix of functional feeding groups in these wetlands indicating good habitat conditions and presence of long-term surface water inundation.

3.4 Threatened and Endangered Species

A literature review of potential threatened and endangered (T&E) species listed for Beaufort County, SC was completed prior to the first monitoring event in March 2019. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service lists 20 species as either federally threatened or endangered in the County. In addition to those species that have federal listing status, the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources lists 4 additional species as state threatened or endangered. American alligators were a common inhabitant of the Cypress Conservancy and Whooping Crane Conservancy. With this exception no other T&E species were observed during the 2019 annual monitoring period. Many species listed for the county occupy marine habitats and have no potential to occupy habitats within the discharge wetlands. A complete list of both state and federally listed species that are known to occur either presently or historically within the county is included in Appendix D. A discussion of those species that have the potential to occur in the project area are included below.

3.4.1 American Alligator (Alligator mississippiensis)

The American alligator is a common and well-known inhabitant of Hilton Head Island and throughout the coastal plain of South Carolina. The American alligator is listed as a federally threatened species by both the USFWS and the SCDNR. This status is due to a restricted habitat within the coastal plain and due to the species similarity in appearance to the critically endangered American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) which is known to still occur in southern Florida. The discharge wetlands likely enhance and augment the alligator habitat that occurs on the island. Winter dormitories occur in both Whooping Crane Conservancy and Cypress Conservancy.

3.4.2 Spotted Turtle (Clemmys guttata)

The spotted turtle has been recently listed as a state threatened species by the SCDNR and is noted as an at-risk species by the USFWS. Spotted turtles occupy shallow wetlands with soft substrates. This type of habitat occurs within the Whooping Crane Conservancy and Cypress Conservancy; however, no spotted turtles were observed during the 2019 monitoring period. Little is known of the distribution and long-term habitat requirements of the species within the state. Whooping Crane Conservancy and Cypress Conservancy offer some of the only available natural habitat on the island. The many water features and ponds associated with the many golf courses on the island also offer a secondary potential habitat. There are no perceived threats to spotted turtles due to the discharge wetlands.

3.4.3 Southern Hognose Snake (Heterodon simus)

The southern hognose snake is another species that has been in decline throughout the state and is listed as a state threatened species by the SCDNR and an at-risk species by the USFWS. Very little potential habitat exists for the southern-hognose snake within the project area. The species is most likely to occur in sandy habitats associated with pine-oak sandhills. No sandhill habitat occurs. Some dry upland sites do border some of the discharge wetlands with the most prominent upland habitat occurring near the recently dried Palmetto Hall grassy wetland. Regardless, the presence of southern hognose is unlikely in the project area and there is no perceived risk to potential habitat from the discharge wetlands.

3.4.4 Pondberry (Lindera melissifolia)

Where it occurs, pondberry can be found in Carolina bays and seasonally wet depressions. Pondberry was not observed during the 2019 annual monitoring period and has not been recorded within the project area during any of monitoring events of the last 20 years. Although limited potential habitat does occur within the Whooping Crane Conservancy, Cypress Conservancy, and Palmetto Hall wooded wetland, the occurrence of pondberry is unlikely. There is no perceived risk to the species from the discharge wetlands.

3.4.5 Wood Stork (Mycteria Americana)

The wood stork is listed as a federally threatened and state endangered species. Wood stork was not observed during the 2019 monitoring period, however, the species was recorded for the Cypress Conservancy in the 2017 monitoring report (Ballantine 2018). Mature forest within the Cypress Conservancy and Whooping Crane Conservancy provide potential foraging and roosting habitat for the species. Both sites are probably to small to support nesting habitat and no rookeries are known to occur in either wetland. There is no perceived risk to the species from the discharge wetlands and mature habitats within the project area have the potential to benefit the species.

3.4.6 Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis)

Like many bat species, populations of the northern long-eared bat are in steep decline. The species is currently listed as threatened by the USFWS but has not been listed by the SCDNR. No northern-long eared bats were observed during the 2019 monitoring period. Identification of the species is difficult and requires either mist netting, audio-acoustic identification, or a combination of both. The species occupies a broad geographical range and utilizes mature forests with standing dead trees and live trees with exfoliated bark as summer roost sites. Some potential summer habitat for the species occurs within the Whooping Crane Conservancy and Cypress Conservancy. There is no perceived risk to the species from the discharge wetlands and mature habitats within the project area have the potential to benefit the species.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The primary concern Ballentine Environmental Resources raised in their 2018 report were the substantial number of trees that had blown down along the edges of the wetlands and the required dry down periods in the Palmetto Hall wetlands. It is our understanding that discharge to the Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands has ceased due to concerns from local residents. The grassy wetlands are transitioning to a pine forest. Ballentine suggests that the rigid dry down periods make the trees more susceptible to blow down. However dry-down periods can be beneficial in the swamp tupelo swamps, including the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands and the Hilton Head Plantation conservancies. Most of the swamp forest wetlands contained an even age of mature trees and very few younger swamp tupelos or bald and pond cypress. Carefully implemented dry downs can help with recruitment of younger tree species in these forests and

increase overall plant diversity. It is apparent that with the exception of the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland, the receiving wetlands are benefiting from the PSD water reuse program. The macroinvertebrate assemblage indicates long-term hydrology conditions, and good water quality conditions conducive for supporting a diverse assemblage of aquatic invertebrates.

Chinese tallow was recorded throughout the project area and was a significant component of the shrub strata within the Cypress Conservancy, the Palmetto Hall wooded wetlands, and the Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands. The presence of the species is anecdotally referenced in the 2018 monitoring report but no quantitative data is listed. Because of this no conclusions can be drawn regarding changes in overall coverage from earlier reporting. Populations of tallow tree should be monitored in the future. Multiple factors can make tallow tree management difficult. It produces large numbers of fruits, which are spread by water and are consumed and spread by many species of birds. Bottomlands subject to periodic flooding may be repeatedly re-infested from upstream seed sources. Tallow tree is also an aggressive sprouter and rapidly regenerates from both stumps and lateral roots, so mechanical control methods frequently exacerbate the problem. Several effective herbicides do exist for tallow tree control. At this time, no prescriptive action is recommended. Tallow tree is a common component of wetlands throughout the coastal plain of South Carolina. The spread of tallow tree is not necessarily a result of discharge of RW within the project area. Interpreting the spread of tallow could be elucidated by the establishment of an appropriate reference site on the Island, if such a resource exists. For all of the same reasons listed for Chinese tallow, the spread of swamp loosestrife should also be monitored closely in subsequent monitoring periods. The following specific recommendations are proposed for the Hilton Head Plantation and Palmetto Hall Recycled Water Projects:

- 1. Subsequent monitoring reports should provide data results in tablature form.
- 2. The spread of tallow tree should be monitored closely, and if the species is deemed problematic as compared to similar communities on the island prescriptive action may be required.
- 3. The spread of swamp loosestrife should be monitored closely, and if the species is deemed problematic as compared to similar communities on the island prescriptive action may be required.
- 4. Establishing an appropriate reference site, if one exists, would provide a more informative interpretation of the spread of exotic-invasive species.
- 5. Hilton Head PSD should continue its successful outreach program to educate customers and the general public about the valuable Recycled Water Program pioneered on Hilton Head Island.

5.0 REFERENCES

- Ballantine Environmental Resources, Hilton Head Plantation Recycled Water Project, Biennial Biological Monitoring Report, March 2018.
- Ballantine Environmental Resources, Palmetto Hall Recycled Water Project, 2016-2017 Biennial Biological Monitoring Report, March 2018.

TABLES

Table 1. Recycled water discharge wetlands and associated monitoring effort conducted during the 2019 biological monitoring period.

Recycled Water Project (RWP) Site / Wetland Areas	Monitoring Locations / Type	
Palmetto Hall RWP		
Grassy Wetland	5 Transects / 1 stations per transect	
Wooded Wetland	4 Transects / 1 stations per transect	
Hilton Head Plantation RWP		
Cypress Conservancy	3 Transects / 3 stations per transect	
Whooping Crane Conservancy	3 Transects / 3 stations per transect	

Table 2. Biological monitoring sampling parameters and methods conducted for the Hilton Head PSD at four recycled water discharge wetlands.

Vegetation	
Сапору	One (1) 1/100-acre plot per station
Shrub and groundcover	1/1,000-acre plot per station
Nuisance species	Plot sampling and/or qualitative assessment
Benthic Macroinvertebrates	
Grab Samples	One composite sample per wetland*
Significant Impact	
Observations of disease, insects, hurricanes, tornados, etc.	Qualitative observations within each monitoring unit

* Benthic macroinvertebrates were not collected at the Palmetto Hall grassy wetlands due to a lack of water.

Species Name	Common Name	Density (Trees per acre)	Basal Area (ft²/ac)	Importance Values
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	124	56.9	213.3
Taxodium distichum	Bald cypress	23	3.5	39.5
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	2	0.1	11.3
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	1	0.0	5.6
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	10	0.4	16.6
Acer rubrum	Red maple	2	0.1	6.3
Ilex coriacea	Large gallberry	4	0.0	7.4
	Total	166	61	300

Table 3. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Cypress Wetland as observed in 2019.

Table 4. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Whooping Crane Wetland in 2019.

Species Name	Common Name	Density (Trees per acre)	Basal Area (ft ² /ac)	Importance Values
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	172	71.5	214.3
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	22	2.8	37.5
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	10	0.1	23.4
Salix caroliniana	Coastal plain willow	22	0.3	19.6
Acer rubrum	Red maple	1	0.0	5.2
	Total	227	75	300

Species Name	Common Name	Density (Trees per acre)	Basal Area (ft²/ac)	Importance Values
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	98	14.3	277.0
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	3	0.0	23.0
	Total	101	14	300

Table 5. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Palmetto Hall wooded wetland in 2019.

Table 6. Quantitative analysis of tree cover at the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland in 2019.

Species Name	Common Name	Density (Trees per acre)	Basal Area (ft²/ac)	Importance Values
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	24	1.6	192.8
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	16	0.0	59.2
Triadica sebifera	Chinese tallow tree	10	0.1	48.0
	Total	50	2	300

Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Sabal minor	Dwarf palmetto	10.56	27.22	20.59	47.81
Triadica sebifera	Tallow tree	8.89	22.92	23.53	46.45
Persea borbonia	Red bay	7.89	20.34	23.53	43.87
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	8.22	21.20	17.65	38.85
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweet gum	0.78	2.01	5.88	7.89
Lyonia lucida	Fetterbush	1.11	2.87	2.94	5.81
Vaccinium corymbosum	Highbush blueberry	1.11	2.87	2.94	5.81
Decodon verticillata	Swamp loosestrife	0.22	0.57	2.94	3.51
	Total	38.78	99.99	100.00	199.99

Table 7.Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Cypress Conservancy as
observed in 2019.

Table 8.Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Whooping Crane
Conservancy as observed in 2019.

Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	22.78	47.23	33.33	80.57
Decodon verticillata	Swamp loosestrife	11.33	23.50	22.22	45.73
Salix caroliniana	Carolina willow	9.44	19.59	11.11	30.70
Persea borbonia	Red bay	2	4.15	11.11	15.26
Ilex cassine	Dahoon	0.89	1.84	7.41	9.25
Lyonia lucida	Fetterbush	0.67	1.38	7.41	8.79
Triadica sebifera	Tallow tree	0.78	1.61	3.70	5.32
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	0.33	0.69	3.70	4.39
	Total	48.22	100.00	100	200.00

Table 9.	Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall wooded
	wetland as observed in 2019.

Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Triadica sebifera	Tallow tree	16.25	57.52	36.36	93.89
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	7.5	26.55	27.27	53.82
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	2.5	8.85	9.09	17.94
Sabal minor	Dwarf palmetto	1	3.54	9.09	12.63
Acer rubrum	Red maple	0.5	1.77	9.09	10.86
Persea borbonia	Red Bay	0.5	1.77	9.09	10.86
	Total	28.25	100.00	100.00	200.00

Table 10. Shrub coverage metrics and taxa richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall grassy wetland as observed in 2019.

Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Morella cerifera	Wax myrtle	5.00	41.67	14.29	55.95
Diodia virginiana	Persimmon	1.60	13.33	28.57	41.90
Triadica sebifera	Tallow tree	2.00	16.67	14.29	30.95
Persea borbonia	Red bay	1.40	11.67	14.29	25.95
Sabal minor	Dwarf palmetto	1.00	8.33	14.29	22.62
Ilex cassine	Dahoon	1.00	8.33	14.29	22.62
	Total	12.00	100.00	100.00	200.00

Table 11. Ground coverage metrics and species richness recorded for the Cypress Conservancy, during the 2019 annual monitoring period.

	Cypress Conserv	-	-	Deletion	
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Saururus cernuus	Lizard's tail	16.22	39.78	23.53	63.31
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Swamp smartweed	6.83	16.76	17.65	34.41
Hydrocotyle sp.	Pennywort	7.44	18.26	11.77	30.03
Panicum hemitomon	Maidencane	3.56	8.72	8.82	17.54
Ludwigia palustris	Creeping primrose	1.78	4.36	8.82	13.18
Iris virginica	Virginia iris	2.44	5.99	5.88	11.87
Scirpus cyperinus	Woolgrass	0.78	1.91	5.88	7.79
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	0.33	0.82	5.88	6.7
Woodwardia virginiana	Virginia chainfern	1.11	2.72	2.94	5.66
Toxicodendron radicans	Poison ivy	0.22	0.55	2.94	3.49
Acer rubrum	Red maple	0.11	0.27	2.94	3.21
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	0.06	0.14	2.94	3.08
Nyssa binora	Swamp tupelo	0.00		es Richness	<u>12</u>
		Por	cent of Station		55
					3.3
			e Depth of Wat	er in Inches	3.3
	Cypress Conserv	ancy Augus	t 2019		
a .		Mean	Relative	Relative	T) /T
Species Saururus cernuus	Common Name	Cover	Dominance	Frequency	IVI
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Swamp smartweed	26	43.90	21.88	65.78
Hydrocotyle sp.	Pennywort	18.44 4.56	31.15 7.69	18.75 18.75	49.90 26.44
Woodwardia virginiana	Virginia chainfern	6.67	11.26	3.13	14.38
Ludwigia palustris	Creeping primrose	0.78	1.31	6.25	7.56
Acer rubrum	Red maple	1.11	1.88	3.13	5.00
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	0.56	0.94	3.13	4.06
Mikania scandens	Climbing hempvine	0.22	0.38	3.13	3.50
Setaria magna	Plume Grass	0.22	0.38	3.13	3.50
Dichanthelium sp.	Rosette grass	0.11	0.19	3.13	3.31
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia creeper	0.11	0.19	3.13	3.31
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	0.11	0.19	3.13	3.31
Pontederia cordata	Pickerelweed	0.11	0.19	3.13	3.31
Sabal minor	Dwarf palmetto	0.11	0.19	3.13	3.31
Toxicodendron radicans	Poison ivy	0.11	0.19	3.13	3.31
	1 3.00,	0.11		es Richness	15
		Per	cent of Station	with Water	77

	Whooping Cra	ne March 20	019			
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI	
Hydrocotyle sp.	Pennywort	12.44	56.85	25	81.85	
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Smartweed	0.89	4.06	12.5	16.56	
Iris virginica	Virginia iris	2.22	5.08	6.25	16.4	
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	0.78	3.55	12.5	16.05	
Eleocharis tuberculosa	Cone-cup spikerush	1.67	7.61	6.25	13.86	
Decodon verticillatus	Swamp loosestrife	1.11	5.08	6.25	11.33	
Ludwigia palustris.	Creeping primrose	1.11	5.08	6.25	11.33	
Salix caroliniana	Carolina willow	1.11	5.08	6.25	11.33	
Scirpus cyperinus	Woolgrass	0.33	1.52	6.25	7.77	
Nyssa biflora	Swamp tupelo	0.11	0.51	6.25	6.76	
Saururus cernuus	Lizard's tail	0.11	0.51	6.25	6.76	
		0.11		es Richness	11	
		Dor	cent of Station		88	
			e Depth of Wat		4.9	
		Average				
	Whooping Cra	ne August 2	019			
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI	
Ludwigia palustris.	Creeping primrose	6	16.57	14.29	30.85	
Hydrocotyle sp.	Pennywort	4.56	12.58	11.43	24.01	
Iris virginica	Virginia iris	4.78	13.19	8.57	21.76	
Saururus cernuus	Lizard's tail	3.56	9.82	11.43	21.25	
Panicum hemitomon	Maidencane	3.67	10.12	5.71	15.84	
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Smartweed	1.89	5.22	5.71	10.93	
Typha latifolia	Cattail	1.89	5.22	5.71	10.93	
Decodon verticillatus	Swamp loosestrife	1.44	3.99	5.71	9.70	
Eleocharis tuberculosa	Cone-cup spikerush	1.44	3.99	5.71	9.70	
Lycopus rubellus	Water horehound	1.11	3.07	5.71	8.78	
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	0.89	2.45	5.71	8.17	
Rhynchospora inundata	Horned beaksedge	1.67	4.60	2.86	7.46	
Tridens sp.	Tridens	1.67	4.60	2.86	7.46	
Woodwardia virginiana	Virginia chainfern	0.89	2.45	2.86	5.31	
Cyperus virens	Green flatsedge	0.56	1.53	2.86	4.39	
Mikania scandens	Climbing hempvine	0.22	0.61	2.86	3.47	
					10	
			Speci	es Richness	16	
		Per	Speci cent of Station		100	

Table 12. Ground coverage metrics and species richness recorded for the Whooping Crane Conservancy, during the 2019 annual monitoring period. Table 13. Ground coverage metrics and species richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall wooded wetland, during the 2019 annual monitoring period.

Palmetto Hall Wooded Wetland March 2019						
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI	
Saururus cernuus	Lizard's tail	28.75	93.50	57.14	150.64	
Triadica sebirifera	Tallow tree	1.25	4.07	14.29	18.35	
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Smartweed	0.5	1.63	14.29	15.91	
Ludwigia repens	Creeping primrose	0.25	0.81	14.29	15.10	
			Speci	es Richness	4	
		Per	cent of Station	with Water	100	
		Averag	e Depth of Wat	er in Inches	7.6	
	Palmetto Hall Woode	d Wetland A	ugust 2019			
Species	Palmetto Hall Woode	d Wetland A Mean Cover	ugust 2019 Relative Dominace	Relative Frequency	IVI	
		Mean	Relative		IVI 113.94	
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominace	Frequency		
Species Saururus cernuus	Common Name Lizard's tail	Mean Cover 61.67	Relative Dominace 76.4	Frequency 37.50	113.94	
Species Saururus cernuus Carex sp.	Common Name Lizard's tail Carex	Mean Cover 61.67 9.00	Relative Dominace 76.4 11.16	Frequency 37.50 25.00	113.94 36.16	
Species Saururus cernuus Carex sp. Rhynchospora inundata	Common Name Lizard's tail Carex Horned beaksedge	Mean Cover 61.67 9.00 6.67	Relative Dominace 76.4 11.16 8.26 2.89 1.24	Frequency 37.50 25.00 12.5 12.5 12.5	113.94 36.16 20.76	
Species Saururus cernuus Carex sp. Rhynchospora inundata Polygonum hydropiperoides	Common Name Lizard's tail Carex Horned beaksedge Smartweed	Mean Cover 61.67 9.00 6.67 2.33	Relative Dominace 76.4 11.16 8.26 2.89 1.24	Frequency 37.50 25.00 12.5 12.5	113.94 36.16 20.76 15.39	
Species Saururus cernuus Carex sp. Rhynchospora inundata Polygonum hydropiperoides	Common Name Lizard's tail Carex Horned beaksedge Smartweed	Mean Cover 61.67 9.00 6.67 2.33 1.00	Relative Dominace 76.4 11.16 8.26 2.89 1.24	Frequency 37.50 25.00 12.5 12.5 12.5 es Richness	113.94 36.16 20.76 15.39 13.74	

Table 14. Ground coverage metrics and species richness recorded for the Palmetto Hall grassy
wetland, during the 2019 annual monitoring period.

	Palmetto Hall Grass	y Wetland M	larch 2019		
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Polygonum sp.	Smartweed	35	63.64	25	88.64
Setaria magna	Plume Grass	7.2	13.09	18.75	31.84
Iris virginica	Virginia iris	9	16.36	6.25	22.61
Erechtities hieracifolia	Burnweed	0.8	1.45	12.5	13.95
Juncus effusus	Soft rush	1	1.82	6.25	8.07
<i>Eupatorium</i> sp.	Eupatorium	0.6	1.09	6.25	7.34
Woodwardia virginiana	Virginia chainfern	0.6	1.09	6.25	7.34
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	0.4	0.73	6.25	6.98
Scirpus cyperinus	Wool grass	0.2	0.36	6.25	6.61
Toxicodendron radicans	Poison ivy	0.2	0.36	6.25	6.61
Species Richness					
		Per	cent of Station	with Water	0
		Average	e Depth of Wat	er in Inches	0
	Palmetto Ha	ll August 20	19		
Species	Common Name	Mean Cover	Relative Dominance	Relative Frequency	IVI
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Smartweed	52	63.57	30.77	94.34
Erechtities hieracifolia	Burnweed	8	9.78	23.08	32.86
Setaria magna	Plume Grass	4	4.89	7.69	12.58
Iris virginica	Virginia iris	2	2.44	7.69	10.13
Panicum hemitomon	Maidencane	2	2.44	7.69	10.13
Pinus taeda	Loblolly pine	1.4	1.71	7.69	9.40
Toxicodendron radicans	Poison ivy	0.4	0.49	7.69	8.18
Species Richness					7
		Per	cent of Station	with Water	0
Average Depth of Water in Inches					0

Table 15. Macroinvertebrate assemblages collected from the Hilton Head Island PSD recycled water discharge wetlands, in 2019.

Species	Tolerance Values	Functional Feeding Groups	Whooping Crane Conservancy	Cypress Conservancy	Palmetto Hall Wooded Wetlands
MOLLUSCA					
Bivalvia					
Veneroida					
Sphaeriidae		FC			1
Musculium sp.	7.5	FC		2	
Gastropoda					
Basommatophora					
Ancylidae		SC			
Ferrissia fragilaris	6.55	SC	8	9	11
Lymnaeidae		SC			
Pseudosuccinea columella	7.7	CG		1	
Physidae					
Physella sp.	8.7	CG		17	54
Planorbidae		SC			
Menetus dilatatus	7.6	SC	7	1	55
Planorbella sp.	6.82		3		7
ANNELIDA					
Clitellata					
Oligochaeta		CG			
Tubificida					
Naididae		CG			
Naidinae		CG			52
Dero sp.	9.8	CG		2	3
Tubificinae w.h.c.	7.11	CG		1	
Pristininae					
Pristina sp.	7.7	CG			10
Lumbriculida					
Lumbriculidae	7.03	CG	52		
ARTHROPODA					
Arachnoidea					
Acariformes	5.53			1	
Crustacea					
Cladocera					
Daphnidae					
Ceriodaphnia sp.			1	3	20

Table 15. Continued, Macroinvertebrate assemblage from the Hilton Head Island PSD recycled water discharge wetlands, March 2019.

Species	Tolerance Values	Functional Feeding Groups	Whooping Crane Conservancy	Cypress Conservancy	Palmetto Hall Wooded Wetlands
Copepoda					
Cyclopoida					
Cyclopidae					
Acanthocyclops sp.			2	2	4
Macrocyclops albidus			6		
Ostracoda				6	27
Isopoda					
Asellidae		SH			
Caecidotea sp.	8.4	CG	9	53	56
Amphipoda		CG			
Hyalellidae					
Hyalella azteca	7.75	CG	57	4	2
Insecta					
Collembola					
Sminthuridae			2		1
Odonata					
Aeshnidae		Р			
Anax junius		Р			2
Nasiaeschna pentacantha	6.6			2	
Coenagrionidae		Р			
Ischnura sp.	9.5				1
Libellulidae		Р			
Pachydiplax longipennis	9.6			1	
Hemiptera					
Belostomatidae					
Belostoma sp.	9.5	Р		1	
Corixidae	9	PI		1	
Naucoridae					
Pelocoris sp.	7.01		1		
Neuroptera	1				
Sisyridae		-			
Climacia sp.	8.4			1	
Coleoptera	1				
Curculionidae			10		
Dytiscidae	1	Р			

Table 15. Continued, Macroinvertebrate assemblage from the Hilton Head Island PSD recycled water discharge wetlands, March 2019.

Species	Tolerance Values	Functional Feeding Groups	Whooping Crane Conservancy	Cypress Conservancy	Palmetto Hall Wooded Wetlands
Neoporus sp.	5		4	2	5
Haliplidae					
Haliplus sp.	8.71	SH		2	3
Hydrophilidae		Р			
Enochrus sp.	8.5	CG	3		
Tropisternus sp.	9.3	Р		2	
Noteridae					
Suphisellus sp.			1		
Scirtidae		SC			
Scirtes sp.				1	
Diptera					
Ceratopogonidae		Р		4	
Chironomidae					
Chironomus sp.	9.3	CG			2
Conchapelopia sp.	8.43	Р		1	
Dicrotendipes	7.9	CG		15	
neomodestus				2	
Kiefferulus sp.	-			3	
Kiefferulus dux	8			72	
Limnophyes sp.	7.43	CG			2
Nanocladius crassicornus	7.4				1
Polypedilum illinoense gp.	8.7	SH		57	
Tanytarsus sp.	6.6	FC		1	1
Tanypus sp.	9.19	Р		7	1
Simuliidae		FC			
Simulium sp.	4.9	FC	2		
Stratiomyidae		CG			
Myxosargus sp.			2		1
T	OTAL NO. OF	ORGANISMS	170	275	322
ΤΟΤΑ	L NO. OF TAX	A (Richness)	17	30	24
EPT INDEX			0	0	0
BIOT	7.21	8.18	7.79		

FIGURES













Figure 6. Wetland vegetation monitoring plot schematic.

APPENDIX A

Wetland Site Photos


Photo 1. Cypress Conservancy at station A-2, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 2. Cypress Conservancy at station B-1, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 3. Cypress Conservancy at station C-1, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 4. Whooping Crane Conservancy at station B-2, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 5. Whooping Crane Conservancy at station C-1, as observed during March 2019.



Photo 6. Whooping Crane Conservancy at station D-1, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 7. Palmetto Hall wooded wetland at station A-1, as observed during March 2019.



Photo 8. Palmetto Hall wooded wetland at station B-1, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 9. Palmetto Hall wooded wetland at station D-1, as observed during March 2019.



Photo 10. Palmetto Hall golf course wetland at station A-1, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 11. Palmetto Hall golf course wetland at station C-1, as observed during August 2019.



Photo 12. Palmetto Hall golf course wetland at station D-1, as observed during August 2019.

APPENDIX B

Site Specific Plant List

Species Name	Common Name	Whooping Crane	Cypress	Wooded Wetland	Golf Course	
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	x	X	x		
Andropogon virginicus	Broomsedge Bluestem	x	x		X	
Arundinaria gigantea	Giant Cane	x			X	
Asclepias incarnata	Milkweed (Swamp)	x				
Azolla caroliniana	Mosquito Fern	x	x			
Bidens laevis	Bur marigold	x	x		X	
Bignonia capreolata	Cross Vine	x			X	
Boehmeria cylindrica	False Nettle	x	X	x	X	
Callitriche heterophylla	Water Starwort	x				
Carex striata	Walter's Sedge	x				
Centella asiatica	Centella		x			
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Button Bush	x	X	х		
Cladium jamaicense	Sawgrass	x				
Clethra alnifolia	Clethra	x				
Cyperus flavescens	Flatsedge	x				
Cyperus virens	Green flatsedge	х				
Decodon verticillatus	Swamp loosetrife	x				
Diospyros virginiana	Persimmon	x		х	Х	
Dulichium arundinaceum	Three-Way Sedge	x	X			
Eleocharis tuberculosa	Cone-cup spikerush	х	X			
Erianthus gigantea	Giant Plume Grass	x	X			
Erechtities hieracifolia	Burnweed				Х	
Eupatorium compositifolium	Dog Fennel	х	X	x	Х	
Habenaria repens	Water Spider Orchid	x				
<i>Hydrocotyle</i> sp.	Pennywort	x	X	x		
Hydrocotyle ranunculoides	Water Pennywort	x				
Hydrocotyle umbellata	Marsh Pennywort	x	X			
Ilex cassine	Dahoon Holly	x	X		Х	
Ilex glabra	Gallberry	x	X			
- Iris virginica	Virginia Iris	x	X		Х	
Juncus effusus	Soft Rush	x	Х	x	Х	
Lachnanthes caroliniana	Red-root	x				
Lemna spp.	Duckweed	x	X			
Limnobium spongia	Frog's Bit	x	X			
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweet Gum	x		x		
Ludwigia palustris	Creeping Primrose		X			
Lycopus rubellus	Water horehound	x				
Lyonia lucida	Fetterbush	x	x	x		
Micranthemum umbrosum	Shade Mudflower	x				
Mikania scandens	Climbing Hempweed	x	x	x	Х	
Morella cerifera	Waxmyrtle	x	x	x		
Nymphaea odorata	Water Lily - Fragrant	x				
Nyssa aquatica	Water Tupelo	x				
Nyssa biflora	Black-Gum	x	x	x	х	

Species Name	Common Name	Whooping Crane	Cypress	Wooded Wetland	Golf Course
Osmunda cinnamomea	Cinnamon Fern	x	X	X	
Osmunda regalis	Royal Fern	x	X	x	
Panicum hemitomon	Maidencane	x	x	x	X
Panicum virgatum	Switch Grass	х			
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia Creeper	x	X	x	X
Persea borbonia	Red Bay	x	x	x	X
Phragmites australis	Giant Reed		x		
Pinus taeda	Loblolly Pine	x	X	X	X
Polygonum densiflorum	Dense-flower Smartweed	x	X		
Polygonum hydropiperoides	Swamp Knotweed	x	X	x	X
Pontederia cordata	Pickerelweed	х			
Pteridium aquilinum	Bracken Fern	х			Х
Rhyncospora inundata	Horned beaksedge	x	X		
Rhus copallina	Winged Sumac	x			X
Rubus hispidus	Swamp Dewberry	x	X	x	Х
Sabal minor	Dwarf Palmetto	x	X	x	
Sacciolepsis striata	Baggy Knees Grass	x			
Sagittaria graminea	Grass-leaved Sagittaria		X		
Sagittaria latifolia	Duck Potato	x			
Salix caroliniana	Carolina Willow	x	X	x	
Sapium sebifera	Chinese Tallowtree	x		x	Х
Saururus cernuus	Lizard Tail	x	X	x	
Serenoa repens	Saw Palmetto	x			
Setaria magna	Plume Grass	x			Х
Smilax laurifolia	Bamboo Vine	x	X	x	
Spirodela punctata	Duckmeat	x			
Taxodium ascendens	Pond Cypress		X		
Taxodium distichum	Bald Cypress		X		
Toxicodendron radicans	Poison Ivy	x	X	x	Х
Typha latifolia	Cattail (Tall)	X			
Utricularia inflata	Floating Bladderwort	X	X		
Vaccinium corymbosum	Highbush Blueberry	X	X	x	
Verbesina occidentalis	Wingstem	X			
Wolffia punctata	Wolffia (Water Meal)	X			
, Woodwardia areolata	Netted Chainfern	X	X		
Woodwardia virginica	Virginia Chainfern	x	X	x	Х

APPENDIX C

Site Specific Bird Lists

Common Name	Scientific Name	Cypress		Whooping Crane		Wooded Wetlands		Golf Course Wetlands	
		MAR	AUG	MAR	AUG	MAR	AUG	MAR	AUG
American crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	x			x		x	X	
American robin	Turdus migratorius	х		x					
blue-grey gnatcatcher	Polioptila caerulea	х	X	X	Х	X	X		
blue jay	Cyanocitta cristata	х		X					
barred owl	Strix varia	х		X					
black vulture	Coragyps atratus	Х		X					
boat-tailed grackle	Quiscalus major				X				
Brewer's blackbird	Euphagus cyanocephalus	х				x			
brown-headed nuthatch	Sitta pusilla	х	X	X	X			X	X
Carolina chickadee	Poecile carolinensis	х		X	X	X	X		
Carolina wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	х	Х	X	X			Х	Х
chipping sparrow	Spizella passerina			х					
common yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas			x					
downy woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	х	X	x	X				
Eastern bluebird	Sialia sialis			X		X	X	X	X
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe			x					
Eastern towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus							X	X
European starling	Sturnus vulgaris					x			
great-crested flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus				х				
great egret	Ardea alba	x		x		x			
green heron	Butorides virescens				x				
grey catbird	Dumetella carolinensis			x					
hairy woodpecker	Leuconotopicus villosus				X				
hermit thrush	Catharus guttatus			X					
mourning dove	Zenaida macroura				X			X	X
Northern cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	х	X	X	X	X		X	
Northern harrier	Circus hudsonius							X	
Northern parula	Setophaga americana	x		x		x			
osprey	Pandion haliaetus				X				
palm warbler	Setophaga palmarum				x				
pileated woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus			x	X				
pine warbler	Dendroica pinus	х		x				X	
red-bellied woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	х	X	х	х	х	Х		

Common Name	Scientific Name	Cypress		Whooping Crane		Wooded Wetlands		Golf Course Wetlands	
		MAR	AUG	MAR	AUG	MAR	AUG	MAR	AUG
Red-shouldered hawk	Buteo lineatus	x		x	x		x		
red-winged blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus								
ruby-crowned kinglet	Regulus calendula			X		X			
snowy egret	Egretta thula						X		
sora rail	Porzana carolina			X	X				
swamp sparrow	Melospiza georgiana							X	
tufted titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	X	X	X		X		X	
turkey vulture	Cathartes aura	X		X					
white ibis	Eudocimus albus	X		Х	X		X		
White-eyed vireo	Vireo griseus	X		Х	X			X	
wood duck	Aix sponsa	X	X	X		X			
yellow-rumped warbler	Setophaga coronata	X		х		х			
yellow-throated warbler	<u>Setophaga dominica</u>					x		X	

APPENDIX D

Threatened and Endangered Species of Beaufort County, South Carolina

Threatened and endangered species that are known to occur, in Beaufort County, SC.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing	Status	Preferred Habitat	Habitat Potential	Found in Project
		Federal	State		Within Area	Boundaries
Acipenser brevirostrum	Shortnose Sturgeon	LE	SE	brackish water of large rivers and estuaries	No potential habitat exists	NO
Acipenser oxyrinchus	Atlantic Sturgeon	LE	NONE	coastal waters, estuaries, large rivers	No potential habitat exists	NO
Aeschynomene virginica	Sensitive joint-vetch	LT	NONE	freshwater to slightly brackish tidal marshes and wet ditches	Limited potential habitat exists	NO
Alligator mississippiensis	American Alligator	LT	ST	fresh to slightly brackish lakes, ponds, rivers, and marshes	Good habitat exists	YES
Ambystoma cingulatum	Frosted flatwoods salamander	LT	SE	longleaf pine-wiregrass savannahs and flatwoods	No potential habitat exists	NO
Balaenoptera physalus	Finback Whale	LE	SE	marine	Zero Potential	NO
Calidris canutus rufa	Red Knot	LT	NONE	winters on beaches and sand flats	No potential habitat exists	NO
Caretta caretta	Loggerhead	LT	ST	nests on beaches; forages in ocean and sounds	No potential habitat exists	NO
Charadrius melodus	Piping Plover	LT	SE	ocean beaches and island-end flats	No potential habitat exists	NO
Chelonia mydas	Green Sea Turtle	LT	ST	nests on beaches; forages in ocean and sounds	No potential habitat exists	NO
Clemmys guttata	Spotted Turtle	ARS*	ST	shallow waters with soft substrate and emergent and submergent vegetation	Potential habitat does exist	NO
Dermochelys coriacea	Leatherback Sea Turtle	LE	SE	oceans, rarely in sounds	No potential habitat exists	NO

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing	g Status Preferred Habitat Potential			Found in
Scientific Name	Common Name	Federal	State		Within Area	Project Boundaries
Eubalaena glacialis	Atlantic Right Whale	LE	SE	marine	Zero Potential	NO
Haliaeetus leucocephalus	Bald Eagle	NONE	ST	mature forests near large bodies of water (nesting); rivers, lakes, and sounds (foraging)	Limited potential habitat exists	NO
Heterodon simus	Southern Hognose Snake	ARS*	ST	sandy woods, particularly pine-oak sandhills	Limited potential habitat exists at GC wetland	NO
Lepidochelys kempii	Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle	LE	SE	marine	Zero potential	NO
Lindera melissifolia	Pondberry	LE	NONE	Carolina bays and seasonally wet depressions	Limited potential habitat exists	NO
Megaptera novaeangliae	Humpback Whale	LE	SE	marine	Zero potential	NO
Mycteria americana	Wood Stork	LT	SE	swamps, fresh or brackish ponds	Good potential foraging habitat exists in the project area	NO
Myotis septentrionalis	Northern Long-eared Bat	LT	NONE	roosts in hollow trees and buildings	Limited potential habitat exists	NO
Picoides borealis	Red-cockaded Woodpecker	LE	SE	mature open pine forests, mainly in longleaf pine [No potential habitat exists	NO
Sterna antillarum	Least Tern	NONE	ST	beaches, sand flats, open dunes, gravel rooftops	No potential habitat exists	NO
Trichechus manatus	Florida Manatee	LT	SE	marine	Zero potential	NO
Listing Sta	tus: Federal (LT) Listed Thre	atened, (LE) Listed end	dangered; State (ST) State threatened, (SE) State endangered	